

A. GEORGIEVA, S. KOSTADINOV

L_p - equivalence between two nonlinear impulse differential Equations with unbounded linear Parts and its application for partial impulse differential equations

ABSTRACT. An L_p -equivalence of two impulse differential equations with unbounded linear parts is proved by means of the Schauder-Tychonoff's fixed point theorem. An example of the theory of the partial impulse differential equations of parabolic type is given.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Impulse differential equations, L_p -equivalence, Partial impulse differential equations of parabolic type.

1 Introduction

We study an equivalence in L_p ($1 \leq p < \infty$) of two ordinary impulse differential equations with a possibly unbounded linear part. This means that to every bounded solution of the first equation there corresponds a bounded solution of the second equation such that their difference is in L_p and vice versa. In Theorem 1 we prove the L_p -equivalence making use of the Schauder-Tychonoff's fixed point principle. Further we give an example with an important application in physics. We consider two partial impulse differential equations with elliptic linear parts and reduce them to two ordinary impulse differential equations. These equations satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1 and are therefore L_p -equivalent. In this case, we establish " L_p -dependence" between the solutions of two partial equations.

2 Statement of the problem

Let X be a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and identity I . By $D(T) \subset X$ we will denote the domain of the operator $T : D(T) \rightarrow X$. We consider the following two impulse differential equations

$$\frac{du_i}{dt} = A_i(t)u_i + f_i(t, u_i) \quad \text{for } t \neq t_n \quad (1)$$

$$u_i(t_n^+) = Q_n^i(u_i(t_n)) + h_n^i(u_i(t_n)) \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (2)$$

where $A_i(t) : D(A_i(t)) \rightarrow X$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}_+$) and $Q_n^i : D(Q_n^i) \rightarrow D(A_i(t_n))$ ($i = 1, 2$) are linear (possibly unbounded) operators. The sets $D(A_i(t))$ and $D(Q_n^i)$ ($i = 1, 2; t \geq 0, n = 1, 2, \dots$) are dense in X . The functions $f_i(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \rightarrow X$ and $h_n^i : X \rightarrow X$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) are continuous. The points of jump t_n satisfy the following conditions $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n < \dots, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \infty$. We set $Q_0^i = I, h_0^i(u) = 0$ ($i = 1, 2, u \in X$).

Furthermore, we assume that all considered functions are left continuous.

Let $U_i(t, s)$ ($i = 1, 2; 0 \leq s \leq t$) be Cauchy operators of the linear ordinary equations

$$\frac{du_i}{dt} = A_i(t)u_i \quad (i = 1, 2). \quad (3)$$

It is easy to prove that the functions $u_i(t) = V_i(t, s)\xi_i$ for $\xi_i \in D(A_i(s))$ ($i = 1, 2$) with

$$V_i(t, s) = U_i(t, t_n)Q_n^i U_i(t_n, t_{n-1})Q_{n-1}^i \dots Q_k^i U_i(t_k, s) \quad (4)$$

($0 \leq s \leq t_k \leq t_n < t$) satisfy the linear impulse Cauchy problems

$$\frac{du_i}{dt} = A_i(t)u_i \quad \text{for } t \neq t_n \quad (5)$$

$$u_i(t_n^+) = Q_n^i(u_i(t_n)) \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (6)$$

$$u_i(s) = \xi_i \quad (i = 1, 2). \quad (7)$$

Let us note that the operators $V_i(t, s)$ ($i = 1, 2$) are bounded if one of the following conditions holds

1. $Q_n^i U_i(t_n, t_{n-1})$ are bounded operators ($i = 1, 2; n = 1, 2, \dots$).
2. $U_i(t_{n+1}, t_n)Q_n^i$ are bounded operators ($i = 1, 2; n = 1, 2, \dots$).

Definition 1 *The solutions of integral equations*

$$u_i(t) = V_i(t, s)\xi_i + \int_s^t V_i(t, \tau)f_i(\tau, u_i(\tau))d\tau + \sum_{s < t_n < t} V_i(t, t_n^+)h_n^i(u_i(t_n)) \quad (8)$$

for $0 \leq s \leq t, \xi_i \in D(A_i(s)), u_i(s) = \xi_i$ are called solutions of the impulse equations (1), (2) ($i = 1, 2$).

By $L_p(X)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ we denote the space of all functions $u : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow X$ for which $\int_0^\infty \|u(t)\|^p dt < \infty$, with the norm $\|u\|_p = \left(\int_0^\infty \|u(t)\|^p dt\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. Set $B_r = \{u \in X : \|u\| \leq r\}$.

Definition 2 The equation (1), (2) for $i = 2$ is called L_p -equivalent to the equation (1), (2) for $i = 1$ in the ball B_r , if there exists $\rho > 0$ such that for any solution $u_1(t)$ of (1), (2) ($i = 1$) lying in the ball B_r there exists a solution $u_2(t)$ of (1), (2) ($i = 2$) lying in the ball $B_{r+\rho}$ and satisfying the relation $u_2(t) - u_1(t) \in L_p(X)$. If equation (1), (2) ($i = 2$) is L_p -equivalent to equation (1), (2) ($i = 1$) in the ball B_r and vice versa, we shall say that equations (1), (2) ($i = 1$) and (1), (2) ($i = 2$) are L_p -equivalent in the ball B_r .

The paper aims at finding sufficiently conditions for the existence of L_p -equivalence between the impulse equations (1), (2) ($i = 1, 2$).

3 Main results

3.1 L_p -equivalent impulse equations

Let us set

$$v(t) = u_2(t) - u_1(t),$$

where $u_i(t)$ ($i = 1, 2$) being defined by (8).

Then the function $v(t)$ is a solution of the integral equation

$$v(t) = T(u_1, v)(t),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T(u_1, v)(t) &= V_2(t, 0)(u_1(0) + v(0)) - V_1(t, 0)u_1(0) + \\ &+ \int_0^t \{V_2(t, \tau)f_2(\tau, u_1(\tau) + v(\tau)) - V_1(t, \tau)f_1(\tau, u_1(\tau))\}d\tau + \\ &+ \sum_{0 < t_n < t} \{V_2(t, t_n^+)h_n^2(u_1(t_n) + v(t_n)) - V_1(t, t_n^+)h_n^1(u_1(t_n))\} \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

We shall prove that for each solution $u_1(t)$ of equation (1), (2) ($i = 1$) lying in the ball B_r the operator $T(u_1, v)$ has a fixed point $v(t)$ such that $u_1(t) + v(t) \in B_{r+\rho}$ for some $\rho > 0$ and which is in $L_p(X)$.

Let $S(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ be linear set of all functions which are continuous for $t \neq t_n$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), have in a both left and right limits at points t_n and are left continuous. The set $S(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ is a locally convex space w.r.t. the metric

$$\rho(u, v) = \sup_{0 < T < \infty} (1 + T)^{-1} \frac{\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u(t) - v(t)\|}{1 + \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u(t) - v(t)\|}.$$

The convergence w.r.t this metric coincides with the uniform convergence on each bounded interval. For this space an analog of Arzella-Ascoli's theorem is valid.

Lemma 1 [1] *The set $M \subset S(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ is relatively compact if and only if the intersections $M(t) = \{m(t) : m \in M\}$ are relatively compact for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and M is equicontinuous on each interval $(t_n, t_{n+1}]$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$).*

Proof: We apply Arzella-Ascoli theorem to each interval $(t_n, t_{n+1}]$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) and constitute a diagonal line sequence, which is converging on each of them. \square

Lemma 2 [1] *Let the continuous compact operator T transform the set*

$$C(\rho) = \{v \in S(\mathbb{R}_+, X) : v(t) \in B_\rho, t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$$

onto itself. Then T has a fixed point in $C(\rho)$.

3.2 Conditions for L_p -equivalence

Theorem 1 *Let the following conditions be fulfilled.*

1. *There exist positive functions $K_i(t, s)$ ($i = 1, 2$) such that*

$$\|V_i(t, s)\xi\| \leq K_i(t, s)\|\xi\| \quad (0 \leq s \leq t, \xi \in D(A_i(s))),$$

where the functions $K_i(t, 0)$ ($i = 1, 2$) satisfy the following condition:

There exist constants $r, \rho > 0$ such that

$$K_1(t, 0)\|\xi\| + K_2(t, 0)\|\eta\| \leq \chi_{r,\rho}(t) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \eta \in B_{r+\rho}, \xi \in B_r),$$

where $\chi_{r,\rho}(t) \in L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

2. *The functions $f_i(t, u)$ and $K_i(t, s)$ ($i = 1, 2$) satisfy the conditions:*

$$2.1 \quad \sup_{\|u\| \leq r} \int_0^t K_1(t, \tau) \|f_1(\tau, u)\| d\tau + \sup_{\|w\| \leq r+\rho} \int_0^t K_2(t, \tau) \|f_2(\tau, w)\| d\tau \leq \psi_{r,\rho}(t), \text{ where } \psi_{r,\rho}(t) \text{ is continuous and } \psi_{r,\rho}(t) \in L_p(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

$$2.2 \quad \int_0^t V_2(t, \tau) f_2(\tau, u_1(\tau) + v(\tau)) d\tau \in K(t) \\ (v \in B_\rho, u_1 \in B_r, u_1 - \text{fixed}), \text{ where for any fixed } t \in \mathbb{R}_+ K(t) \text{ is a compact subset of } X.$$

3. *The functions $h_n^i(u)$ and $K_i(t, s)$ ($i = 1, 2$) satisfy the conditions*

$$3.1 \quad \sup_{\|u\| \leq r} \sum_{0 < t_n < t} K_1(t, t_n^+) \|h_n^1(u)\| + \sup_{\|w\| \leq r+\rho} \sum_{0 < t_n < t} K_2(t, t_n^+) \|h_n^2(w)\| \leq \varphi_{r,\rho}(t), \text{ where } \varphi_{r,\rho}(t) \in L_p(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

3.2 $\sum_{0 < t_n < t} V_2(t, t_n^+) h_n^2(u_1(t_n) + v(t_n)) \in K_n$
 ($v \in B_\rho$, $u_1 \in B_r$, u_1 – fixed), where for any fixed $n = 1, 2, \dots$, K_n is a compact subset of X .

4. The function $f_2(t, w)$ satisfies the condition

$$\sup_{\|w\| \leq r + \rho} K_2(t, \tau) \|f_2(\tau, w)\| \leq \Phi_{r, \rho}(t, \tau),$$

where $\int_0^t \Phi_{r, \rho}(t, \tau) d\tau < \infty$ for any fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

5. The inequality

$$\chi_{r, \rho}(t) + \psi_{r, \rho}(t) + \varphi_{r, \rho}(t) \leq \rho$$

holds for each $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Then the equation (1), (2) for $i = 2$ is L_p -equivalent to the equation (1), (2) for $i = 1$ in the ball B_r .

Proof: We shall show that for any function $u_1(t) \in B_r$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}_+$) the operator $T(u_1, v)$ defined by equality (9) maps the set

$$C(\rho) = \{v \in S(\mathbb{R}_+, X) : v(t) \in B_\rho, t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$$

into itself.

Let $u_1(t) \in B_r$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}_+$) and let $v \in C(\rho)$. Then, making use of (9), we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(u_1, v)(t)\| &\leq \|V_2(t, 0)(u_1(0) + v(0))\| + \|V_1(t, 0)u_1(0)\| + \\ &+ \int_0^t \|V_2(t, \tau)f_2(\tau, u_1(\tau) + v(\tau))\| d\tau + \int_0^t \|V_1(t, \tau)f_1(\tau, u_1(\tau))\| d\tau + \\ &+ \sum_{0 < t_n < t} \|V_2(t, t_n^+)h_n^2(u_1(t_n) + v(t_n))\| + \sum_{0 < t_n < t} \|V_1(t, t_n^+)h_n^1(u_1(t_n))\| \\ &\leq K_2(t, 0)\|u_1(0) + v(0)\| + K_1(t, 0)\|u_1(0)\| + \\ &+ \sup_{\|w\| \leq r + \rho} \int_0^t K_2(t, \tau)\|f_2(\tau, w)\| d\tau + \sup_{\|u\| \leq r} \int_0^t K_1(t, \tau)\|f_1(\tau, u)\| d\tau + \\ &+ \sup_{\|w\| \leq r + \rho} \sum_{0 < t_n < t} K_2(t, t_n^+)\|h_n^2(w)\| + \sup_{\|u\| \leq r} \sum_{0 < t_n < t} K_1(t, t_n^+)\|h_n^1(u)\| \\ &\leq \chi_{r, \rho}(t) + \psi_{r, \rho}(t) + \varphi_{r, \rho}(t) \leq \rho \end{aligned}$$

for each $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Let $M = \{m(t) = T(u_1, v)(t) : \|v\| \leq \rho, t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$.

We shall show the equicontinuity of the functions of the set M . Let $t' > t''$ and $t', t'' \in (t_n, t_{n+1}]$. It is easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|m(t') - m(t'')\| \leq \\
& \leq \|V_2(t', 0)u_2(0) - V_2(t'', 0)u_2(0)\| + \|V_1(t', 0)u_1(0) - V_1(t'', 0)u_1(0)\| + \\
& + \sup_{\|w\| \leq r + \rho} \int_0^{t''} \|V_2(t', \tau)f_2(\tau, w) - V_2(t'', \tau)f_2(\tau, w)\| d\tau + \\
& + \sup_{\|u\| \leq r} \int_0^{t''} \|V_1(t', \tau)f_1(\tau, u) - V_1(t'', \tau)f_1(\tau, u)\| d\tau + \\
& + \sup_{\|w\| \leq r + \rho} \int_0^{t'} K_2(t', \tau) \|f_2(\tau, w)\| d\tau + \sup_{\|u\| \leq r} \int_0^{t'} K_1(t', \tau) \|f_1(\tau, u)\| d\tau + \\
& + \sup_{\|w\| \leq r + \rho} \sum_{0 < t_n < t''} \|V_2(t', t_n^+)h_n^2(w) - V_2(t'', t_n^+)h_n^2(w)\| + \\
& + \sup_{\|u\| \leq r} \sum_{0 < t_n < t''} \|V_1(t', t_n^+)h_n^1(u) - V_1(t'', t_n^+)h_n^1(u)\|.
\end{aligned}$$

The continuity of functions $V_i(t, \tau)$ ($i = 1, 2$) on $(t_n, t_{n+1}]$ and condition 2.1 of Theorem 1 imply the equicontinuity of the set M .

It follows from conditions 2.2, 3.2 and (9) that the sections $M(t) = \{m(t) : m \in M\}$ are compact for any $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Consequently, Lemma 1 implies the compactness of the set M .

Now, we shall show that the operator $T(u_1, v)$ is continuous in $S(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$.

Let the sequence $\{v_k(t)\} \subset C(\rho)$ be convergent in the metric of the space $S(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ to the function $v(t) \in C(\rho)$. Then, for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ the sequence $f_2(t, u_1(t) + v_k(t))$ converges to $f_2(t, u_1(t) + v(t))$. Utilizing condition 4 of Theorem 1, we obtain that the convergent sequence of functions $V_2(t, \tau)f_2(\tau, u_1(\tau) + v_k(\tau))$ is majorized by the integrable function $\Phi_{r, \rho}(t, \tau)$. Therefore, we may pass to the limit in the formula.

$$\begin{aligned}
T(u_1, v_k)(t) &= V_2(t, 0)(u_1(0) + v_k(0)) - V_1(t, 0)u_1(0) + \\
& + \int_0^t \{V_2(t, \tau)f_2(\tau, u_1(\tau) + v_k(\tau)) - V_1(t, \tau)f_1(\tau, u_1(\tau))\} d\tau + \\
& + \sum_{0 < t_n < t} \{V_2(t, t_n^+)h_n^2(u_1(t_n) + v_k(t_n)) - V_1(t, t_n^+)h_n^1(u_1(t_n))\}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $T(u_1, v_k)(t)$ tends to $T(u_1, v)(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

From Lemma 2 it follows that for any $u_1 \in B_r$ the operator $T(u_1, v)$ has a fixed point v in $C(\rho)$ i.e., $v = T(u_1, v)$.

We shall show that this fixed point $v(t)$ lies in $L_p(X)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|v(t)\| &\leq K_2(t, 0)\|u_1(0) + v(0)\| + K_1(t, 0)\|u_1(0)\| + \\ &+ \sup_{\|w\| \leq r + \rho} \int_0^t K_2(t, \tau)\|f_2(\tau, w)\|d\tau + \sup_{\|u\| \leq r} \int_0^t K_1(t, \tau)\|f_1(\tau, u)\|d\tau + \\ &+ \sup_{\|w\| \leq r + \rho} \sum_{0 < t_n < t} K_2(t, t_n^+)\|h_n^2(w)\| + \sup_{\|u\| \leq r} \sum_{0 < t_n < t} K_1(t, t_n^+)\|h_n^1(u)\| \\ &\leq \chi_{r, \rho}(t) + \psi_{r, \rho}(t) + \varphi_{r, \rho}(t) \\ \|v\|_p &\leq \left(\int_0^\infty |\chi_{r, \rho}(t) + \psi_{r, \rho}(t) + \varphi_{r, \rho}(t)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \|\chi_{r, \rho}\|_p + \|\psi_{r, \rho}\|_p + \|\varphi_{r, \rho}\|_p \end{aligned}$$

Hence, this fixed point belongs to the space $S(\mathbb{R}_+, X)$ i.e., equation (1), (2) for $i = 2$ is L_p -equivalent to the equation (1), (2) for $i = 1$ in the ball B_r .

Theorem 1 is proved. □

We shall illustrate Theorem 1 by an example of the qualitative theory of the nonlinear partial impulse differential equations.

Example In the example we consider two partial impulse differential equations and reduce them to two ordinary impulse differential equations. For these ordinary impulse differential equations, the conditions of Theorem 1 are fulfilled. Many notations and results for ordinary differential equations are taken from capite 5 – 7 of [4]. The short introduction in the general theory of nonlinear partial impulse differential equations follows [2].

Let Ω be a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ in \mathbb{R}^n , $Q = (0, \infty) \times \Omega$ and $\Gamma = (0, \infty) \times \partial\Omega$.

We denote

$$P_n = \{(t_n, x) : x \in \Omega\}, \quad P = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty P_n,$$

$$\Lambda_n = \{(t_n, x) : x \in \partial\Omega\}, \quad \Lambda = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \Lambda_n.$$

Consider the impulse nonlinear parabolic initial value problems

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} = \tilde{A}_i(t, x, D)u_i + \tilde{f}_i(t, x, u_i), \quad (t, x) \in Q \setminus P \tag{10}$$

$$D^\alpha u_i(t, x) = 0, \quad |\alpha| < m, \quad (t, x) \in \Gamma \setminus \Lambda \tag{11}$$

$$u_i(0, x) = v_i(x), \quad x \in \Omega \tag{12}$$

$$u_i(t_n^+, x) = \tilde{Q}_n^i(u_i(t_n, x)) + \tilde{h}_n^i(u_i(t_n, x)), \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \tag{13}$$

where

$$\tilde{A}_i(t, x, D) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2m} a_\alpha^i(t, x) D^\alpha,$$

$\tilde{Q}_n^i : D(\tilde{Q}_n^i) \rightarrow D(\tilde{A}_i(t_n, x, D))$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots; i = 1, 2$) are linear operators, $\tilde{f}_i(\cdot, \dots) : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\tilde{h}_n^i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions.

Let $X = L_p(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ ($1 < p < \infty$), where

$$L_p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}) = \{v : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; \int_{\Omega} |v(x)|^p dx < \infty\}$$

with norm $|v|_p = (\int_{\Omega} |v(x)|^p dx)^{\frac{1}{p}}$.

With the family $\tilde{A}_i(t, x, D)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, ($i = 1, 2$) of strongly elliptic operators we associate a family of linear operators $A_i(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, ($n = 1, 2$) acting in X by

$$A_i(t)u_i = \tilde{A}_i(t, x, D)u_i, \text{ for } u_i \in D.$$

This is done as follows $D = D(A_i(t)) = W^{2m,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$, ($i = 1, 2; t \in \mathbb{R}_+$).

Let $v_i \in X$. We set

$$\begin{aligned} f_i(t, u_i)(x) &= \tilde{f}_i(t, x, u_i(t, x)), \quad u_i \in X, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad x \in \bar{\Omega} \quad (i = 1, 2), \\ Q_n^i(u_i(t_n))(x) &= \tilde{Q}_n^i(u_i(t_n, x)), \quad h_n^i(u_i(t_n))(x) = \tilde{h}_n^i(u_i(t_n, x)), \end{aligned}$$

where $Q_n^i : D(Q_n^i) \rightarrow D$ ($D(Q_n^i) \subset X$ lie dense in X ($i = 1, 2$)) are linear operators, $f_n^i : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \rightarrow X$ and $h_n^i : X \rightarrow X$ are continuous functions.

We shall prove the L_p -equivalence between the equations (1), (2) ($i = 1, 2$).

Let $U_i(t, s)$ ($i = 1, 2$) are the Cauchy operators of the equations

$$\frac{du_i}{dt} = A_i(t)u_i$$

Sufficient conditions for the validity of the estimates

$$|U_i(t, s)|_{p \rightarrow p} \leq C_i e^{-k_i(t-s)} \quad (0 \leq s \leq t; C_i, k_i > 0 \text{ constants}, i = 1, 2)$$

are given in [4].

We shall consider the concrete case when $t_n = n$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$),

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_1(t, x, u_1) &= e^{\gamma_1 t} \frac{u_1^2(t, x)}{1+u_1^2(t, x)}, \quad \tilde{f}_2(t, x, u_2) = e^{\gamma_2 t} \sin u_2(t, x), \\ \tilde{Q}_n^1 \xi_1 &= \frac{k_1 n}{C_1(1+n^2)e^{C_1+k_1}} \xi_1, \quad \tilde{Q}_n^2 \xi_2 = \frac{k_2 n}{C_2(1+n^2)e^{C_2+k_2}} \xi_2, \\ \tilde{h}_n^1(u_1(t_n, x)) &= e^{\alpha_1 n} 2^{-u_1(t_n, x)}, \quad \tilde{h}_n^2(u_2(t_n, x)) = e^{\alpha_2 n} \frac{1}{1+u_2^2(t_n, x)} \end{aligned}$$

where $-1 < \gamma_i + k_i < 0$ and $\alpha_i + k_i < \ln \frac{1}{2}$ ($i = 1, 2$).

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(t, u_1) &= e^{\gamma_1 t} \frac{u_1^2(t)}{1+u_1^2(t)}, \quad f_2(t, u_2) = e^{\gamma_2 t} \sin u_2(t), \\ Q_n^1 \xi_1 &= \frac{k_1 n}{C_1(1+n^2)e^{C_1+k_1}} \xi_1, \quad \tilde{Q}_n^2 \xi_2 = \frac{k_2 n}{C_2(1+n^2)e^{C_2+k_2}} \xi_2, \\ h_n^1(u_1(t_n)) &= e^{\alpha_1 n} \cdot 2^{-u_1(t_n)}, \quad h_n^2(u_2(t_n)) = e^{\alpha_2 n} \frac{1}{1+u_2^2(t_n)} \end{aligned}$$

Let $V_i(t, s)$ ($i = 1, 2; 0 \leq s \leq t$) are the Cauchy operators of the linear impulse equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{du_i}{dt} &= A_i(t)u_i \quad \text{for } t \neq t_n \\ u_i(t_n^+) &= Q_n^i(u_i(t_n)) \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Then for $0 < s \leq k < n < t$, $\xi \in D$ the following estimates are valid

$$\begin{aligned} |V_1(t, s)\xi|_p &= |U_1(t, t_n)Q_n^1 \dots Q_k^1 U_1(t_k, s)\xi|_p \\ &\leq C_1 e^{-k_1(t-n)} \frac{k_1 n}{C_1(1+n^2)e^{C_1+k_1}} \dots \frac{k_1 k}{C_1(1+k^2)e^{C_1+k_1}} C_1 e^{-k_1(k-s)} |\xi|_p \\ &\leq \frac{C_1}{e^{C_1(n-k+1)}} \frac{k_1^{n-k}}{e^{k_1(n-k+1)}} k_1 n e^{-k_1(t-s)} |\xi|_p \leq k_1 t e^{-k_1(t-s)} |\xi|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$|V_2(t, s)\xi|_p \leq k_2 t e^{-k_2(t-s)} |\xi|_p.$$

We set

$$k_i(t, s) = k_i t e^{-k_i(t-s)} \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

Let $r > 0$ and

$$\rho > \frac{2}{e-1} (r + 2(\mu(\Omega))^{\frac{1}{p}}) \tag{14}$$

We shall show that the conditions of Theorem 1 are fulfilled. For any $\xi \in B_r$, $\eta \in B_{r+\rho}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} K_1(t, 0)|\xi|_p + K_2(t, 0)|\eta|_p &= k_1 t e^{-k_1 t} |\xi|_p + k_2 t e^{-k_2 t} |\eta|_p \leq \\ &\leq k_1 t e^{-k_1 t} r + k_2 t e^{-k_2 t} (r + \rho). \end{aligned}$$

Let us set

$$\chi_{r,\rho}(t) = k_1 t e^{-k_1 t} r + k_2 t e^{-k_2 t} (r + \rho).$$

We shall show that condition 2.1 of Theorem 1 is fulfilled.

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{|u|_p \leq r} \int_0^t K_1(t, \tau) |f_1(\tau, u)|_p d\tau + \sup_{|w|_p \leq r+\rho} \int_0^t K_2(t, \tau) |f_2(\tau, w)|_p d\tau \\ &= \sup_{|u|_p \leq r} \int_0^t k_1 t e^{-k_1(t-\tau)} e^{\gamma_1 \tau} \left| \frac{u^2(\tau)}{1+u^2(\tau)} \right|_p d\tau + \\ &+ \sup_{|w|_p \leq r+\rho} \int_0^t k_2 t e^{-k_2(t-\tau)} e^{\gamma_2 \tau} |\sin w(\tau)|_p d\tau \leq \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq k_1 t e^{-k_1 t} (\mu(\Omega))^{\frac{1}{p}} \int_0^t e^{(k_1 + \gamma_1)\tau} d\tau + \\
&+ k_2 t e^{-k_2 t} (\mu(\Omega))^{\frac{1}{p}} \int_0^t e^{(k_2 + \gamma_2)\tau} d\tau \leq \\
&\leq k_1 t e^{-k_1 t} \frac{(\mu(\Omega))^{\frac{1}{p}}}{-(k_1 + \gamma_1)} + k_2 t e^{-k_2 t} \frac{(\mu(\Omega))^{\frac{1}{p}}}{-(k_2 + \gamma_2)}
\end{aligned}$$

Let us set

$$\psi_{r,\rho}(t) = k_1 t e^{-k_1 t} \frac{(\mu(\Omega))^{\frac{1}{p}}}{-(k_1 + \gamma_1)} + k_2 t e^{-k_2 t} \frac{(\mu(\Omega))^{\frac{1}{p}}}{-(k_2 + \gamma_2)}.$$

We shall prove condition 3.1 of Theorem 1.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{|u|_p \leq r} \sum_{0 < n < t} K_1(t, t_n^+) |h_n^1(u(t_n))|_p + \sup_{|w|_p \leq r + \rho} \sum_{0 < n < t} K_2(t, t_n^+) |h_n^2(w(t_n))|_p = \\
&= \sup_{|u|_p \leq r} \sum_{0 < n < t} k_1 t e^{-k_1(t-n)} e^{\alpha_1 n} |2^{-u(t_n)}|_p + \\
&+ \sup_{|w|_p \leq r + \rho} \sum_{0 < n < t} k_2 t e^{-k_2(t-n)} e^{\alpha_2 n} \left| \frac{1}{1+w^2(t_n)} \right|_p \leq \\
&\leq k_1 t e^{-k_1 t} (\mu(\Omega))^{\frac{1}{p}} \sum_{0 < n < t} e^{(k_1 + \alpha_1)n} + \\
&+ k_2 t e^{-k_2 t} (\mu(\Omega))^{\frac{1}{p}} \sum_{0 < n < t} e^{(k_2 + \alpha_2)n} \leq \\
&\leq k_1 t e^{-k_1 t} (\mu(\Omega))^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{e^{\alpha_1 + k_1}}{1 - e^{\alpha_1 + k_1}} + k_2 t e^{-k_2 t} (\mu(\Omega))^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{e^{\alpha_2 + k_2}}{1 - e^{\alpha_2 + k_2}}
\end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\varphi_{r,\rho}(t) = k_1 t e^{-k_1 t} (\mu(\Omega))^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{e^{\alpha_1 + k_1}}{1 - e^{\alpha_1 + k_1}} + k_2 t e^{-k_2 t} (\mu(\Omega))^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{e^{\alpha_2 + k_2}}{1 - e^{\alpha_2 + k_2}}.$$

It is not hard to check if the functions $\chi_{r,\rho}(t)$, $\psi_{r,\rho}(t)$ and $\varphi_{r,\rho}(t)$ lie in the space $L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

Condition 4 of Theorem 1 is fulfilled with

$$\Phi_{r,\rho}(t, \tau) = k_2 t e^{-k_2 t} (\mu(\Omega))^{\frac{1}{p}} e^{(k_2 + \gamma_2)\tau} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_+)$$

for any fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

We shall show that condition 5 of Theorem 1 holds

$$\begin{aligned}
&\chi_{r,\rho}(t) + \psi_{r,\rho}(t) + \varphi_{r,\rho}(t) = \\
&= k_1 t e^{-k_1 t} \left(r - (\mu(\Omega))^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{1}{k_1 + \gamma_1} + (\mu(\Omega))^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{e^{\alpha_1 + k_1}}{1 - e^{\alpha_1 + k_1}} \right) + \\
&+ k_2 t e^{-k_2 t} \left(r + \rho - (\mu(\Omega))^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{1}{k_2 + \gamma_2} + (\mu(\Omega))^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{e^{\alpha_2 + k_2}}{1 - e^{\alpha_2 + k_2}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

From condition (14) we obtain

$$\chi_{r,\rho}(t) + \psi_{r,\rho}(t) + \varphi_{r,\rho}(t) \leq \rho \text{ for each } t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

By means of a compactness criterion from [3] we shall prove condition 2.2.

The set

$$M(t) = \left\{ m(t) = \int_0^t V_2(t, \tau) f_2(\tau, u_1(\tau) + v(\tau)) d\tau : |v|_p \leq \rho \right\}$$

is a compact subset of X for any fixed t .

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} |m(t)(x)| &\leq k_2 t e^{-k_2 t} \int_0^t e^{(k_2 + \gamma_2)\tau} |\sin(v(\tau)(x) + u_1(\tau)(x))| d\tau \\ &\leq \int_0^t e^{(k_2 + \gamma_2)\tau} d\tau = \frac{1}{k_2 + \gamma_2} (e^{(k_2 + \gamma_2)t} - 1), \text{ i.e.} \\ \left(\int_{\Omega} |m(t)(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\leq \frac{1}{k_2 + \gamma_2} (e^{(k_2 + \gamma_2)t} - 1) (\mu(\Omega))^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

and hence $|m(t)(x)|_p \leq N$ (N -constant).

We show that

$$|m(t)(x+h) - m(t)(x)|_p \rightarrow 0 \quad (h \rightarrow 0).$$

This follows from the relations below

$$\begin{aligned} |m(t)(x+h) - m(t)(x)| &\leq \\ &\leq \int_0^t e^{(k_2 + \gamma_2)\tau} |\sin(v(\tau)(x+h) + u_1(\tau)(x+h)) - \sin(v(\tau)(x) + u_1(\tau)(x))| d\tau \\ &\leq \int_0^t e^{(k_2 + \gamma_2)\tau} |v(\tau)(x+h) - v(\tau)(x)| d\tau + \int_0^t e^{(k_2 + \gamma_2)\tau} |u(\tau)(x+h) - u(\tau)(x)| d\tau \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way, we show the validity of condition 3.2. The conditions of Theorem 1 are fulfilled and hence the ordinary equations (1), (2) ($i = 1, 2$) are in $B_r L_p$ -equivalent. Hence, every solution $u_1(t, x)$ of (10)-(13) ($i = 1$) induces a solution $u_2(t, x)$ of (10)-(13) ($i = 2$) such that the function $\alpha_1(t) = |u_1(t, x) - u_2(t, x)|$ lies in $L_p(\mathbb{R}_+)$ for any $x \in \Omega$.

References

- [1] **Bainov, D., Kostadinov, S., and Zabreiko, P.** : *L_p-equivalence of impulsive equations*. International Journal of Theoretical Physics, **27**, N11, 1411-1424 (1988)
- [2] **Erbe, L., Freedman, H., Liu, X., and Wu, J.** : *Comparison principle for impulsive parabolic equations with applications to models of single species growth*. J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B **32** 382-400 (1991)
- [3] **Maurin, K.** : *Metody Przestrzeni Hilberta*. Panstwowe wydawnictwo naukowe, (1959), pp. 570
- [4] **Pazy, A.** : *Semigroups of Linear Operators*. Springer-Verlag (1983), pp. 279

received: October 31, 2008

revised: November 9, 2009

Authors:

A. Georgieva
University of Food Technologies
26 Maritza Blvd.
4002 Plovdiv
BULGARIA

S. Kostadinov
University of Plovdiv
Department of Mathematics and Informatics
24 Tsar Asen St.
4000 Plovdiv
BULGARIA

e-mail: stepanko@mail.bg